Case 3:13-mj-71441-PMRG Document 35 Filed 11/27/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 13 · Mj - 71441 MM
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
REO HANK	NOV 27 2013 NORTHERN DIS DIST WIE 1
Defendant. Defendant. For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 11 Speedy Trial Act from 12 7 years by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this	and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prosecu or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adea	the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the taking into account the exercise of due dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	conably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given its, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
necessary for effective preparation, taking in See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	es unner Ruce S.
DATED: 11-27-13	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney